



FRIDAY, OCTOBER 5, 1894.

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE EVENING WORLD

(including postage)

PER MONTH \$3.50

PER YEAR \$35.00

Vol. 35, No. 12,000

Entered at the Post-Office at New York as second-class matter.

NEW BRANCH OFFICES

WORLD-UPPER OFFICE—Junction of Broadway and Sixth Ave. at 5th St.

WORLD HARBOR OFFICE—12th St. and Madison Ave.

PHILADELPHIA, PA.—Inquirer Office, 223 Chestnut St.

WASHINGTON—202 14th St.

The World's
Circulation for September.

AVERAGE PER WEEK-DAY.

484,075.

AVERAGE PER SUNDAY

(no evening edition)

324,904.

Gain per Sunday over last year.

75,425.

Gain in number of advts. over last September.

7,711.

The Carr's secret police are of no avail against the enemy that threatens him now.

Mr. Croker has got it for keeps, but it can be so arranged that he will no longer keep getting it.

They have received a loud warning in Newark to keep live wires and iron conductors pipes far apart.

New Jersey will regret the Palisades when they are gone. Wouldn't it be wiser to remember them now?

Commodore Gerry found he had met a fully protected cruiser when he ran, head on, against the Lexow craft.

No city in the world can boast a finer October. But the next October should come to a much better New York.

It is not exactly true that the parade of street-cleaners was called off because the proposed route was blocked by heaps of garbage.

Mr. Goff produced no new sensations yesterday. He took a breathing spell. Ca it was. Was this preliminary to a determined leap higher up?

In concluding their deliberations to-day, on the subject of a municipal ticket, let the anti-Tammany conferees make this a lucky Friday for New York.

The Millhollands demonstrated their thorough independence last night in several conventions by endorsing the regular Republican nominees for Congress.

When Mr. Goff calls the Police President there should be a telling of interesting tales. Especially if Divver retains his memory and Grady his eloquence.

Flying Jib took another reef in the pacing record yesterday. The figures for the mile are now 1.58.4. And the wonders of the track have not yet ceased.

It is the good fortune of Massachusetts Democrats that John E. Russell has reconsidered his determination not to be listed as an available candidate for the Governorship.

Municipal government should be entirely divorced from party politics and from selfish personal ambition or gain. A right solid plank in the Committee of Seventy's proposed platform.

In voting for real rapid transit, you will vote for all local interests except those of the "L" road corporation, which body, you need not be reminded, has little enough regard for your interests.

The proposed platform of the Committee of Seventy puts into more words the planks set forth by "The Evening World," namely: Better New York, Greater New York, Fifteen Minutes to Harlem.

The Committee of Seventy proposes to advocate "adequate public baths and lavatories for the promotion of cleanliness and increased public comfort."

Clean people and a clean city. It is the voice of progress that calls for this system of public improvements.

It is too evident that in winning the baseball pennant, Baltimore lost its head. The Monumental City was a city of monumental ruffianism yesterday. In New York, tomorrow, the Baltimore team and its accompanying "rooters" will receive a practical lesson in hospitality and courtesy.

Here is something to the point: Resolved, That the Chamber of Commerce of the State of New York believes that the police force of the city of New York should be divorced from all political and party interests, and that it should be constituted on some such basis as the army of the United States, or the militia of the State of New York, with all grades of officers and a complete hierarchy, all of whom shall be chosen from the ranks, with provision for court-martials and for appointments to the force by strict civil-service rules, with promotions based upon civil-service examination and the previous record of the applicant.

This is part of a resolution presented at the meeting of the Chamber of Commerce yesterday, and referred to the Committee on Legislation. It was

ARE WHEELS IN HILL'S HEAD?

Any man who has ever taken the family car to the mechanic, and upon getting it together again has found one wheel left out, and nothing except the fact that the machine won't work to indicate where it ought to go, will appreciate the plight of Chief Engineer Hill, of the Democratic machine.

After a thorough cleaning, repairing and general overhauling he has the machine set up again and ready for business, all except that measly little Harmony wheel, which clearly belongs somewhere, but he can't for the life of him tell where. Whether it goes in between the Tammany wheel and the Grace wheel, or whether it belongs next to the Shepard wheel, or whether again it ought to be just under the Committee of Seventy wheel and over the Cleveland wheel, is a puzzle that the chief engineer, familiar as he is with the working of the machine, is unable to solve.

If he puts it in the wrong place perhaps it will smash the whole machine as soon as steam is turned on, and he can't leave it out entirely, for if it were not necessary to the proper operation of the machine it would never have been made.

Meantime, while the chief engineer worries and wonders, time passes, election day draws near, and over in the other shop Chief Engineer Platt is peacefully grinding away at his own machine, which seems never to get out of order.

Contemplation of Engineer Platt's machine in operation might suggest an idea to Engineer Hill. The Platt machine is very simple, like a wringing machine. You just shove in Republican principles, platform, candidates and other raw material on one side and it comes out on the other side squeezed dry of everything but Platt.

Engineer Hill's machine is more elaborate and complicated. It has wheels within wheels, springs within springs, and lots of other intricate and complicated contrivances. It is very ingenious and beautiful, but it is apt to get out of order.

NO PUGILISTIC IVANHOE NOW.

From a purely pugilistic point of view, it is eminently gratifying to note that Mr. James J. Corbett, champion, etc., has returned to his proper senses and acceded to the determined demand of Mr. Robert F. Fitzsimmons for a meeting on a squared circle arranged for two. But the matter is not without its disappointments for the select few who had grasped at a recent straw of hope for a new and chivalric turn in the affairs of the prize-ring.

When Mr. Corbett issued his now superseded manifesto, declaring for a week's steady occupation of the arena, with a fresh opponent every night, there was in the proposition a suggestion of a return to the fashion of that chivalric period when armored knights met each other in the tournament; when champion downed champion, one after another, until the lists were exhausted and one remained victor over all to receive the conqueror's garland from the Queen of Love and Beauty. To be sure, the old knights broke lances, while the specialty of Mr. Corbett and his ilk lies in breaking faces. But that is a matter of detail.

It required little stretch of imagination to see, in the mind's eye, a Front-de-Bœuf in five-ounce gloves or an Athlete in the pink of condition facing the doughty Californian under ring rules and also under the eye of a Prince John of the sports do, present with his retinue. And in the mind, too, one easily heard the crash of swinging blows, the crack of upper-cuts and the dull thud of the straight-from-the-shoulder sockdolager. There might even be a Black Knight in the mass, though sporting country do doubt, while New York has gone to Australia under circumstances strongly indicating a desire to place the earth between himself and Champion Corbett.

But it's of no use to imagine anything now. The thing is to resolve to give a part of the Corbett-Fitzsimmons "go." Except that it is going to be a fight, with the excesses of the banquet, at old Ashby Castle, after Prince John's tourney, are more than likely to be surpassed.

IT SHOULD BE FIVE CENTS.

A lively contest is going on between rival applicants for the Staten Island ferry. The old Company wanted the lease. John H. Starin wanted it and set a dummy to work to obtain it. Now, complications arise and no one knows what the end will be.

It is noteworthy that all this time the last things seemingly thought about are the interests and wishes of the people of Staten Island. The value of real estate there will, of course, always be dependent in a great measure on the character of the ferry facilities. The business of the county can be aided or injured largely by the increase or decrease of ferry accommodations. But the people are not considered in the scramble of speculators for the ferry privilege and profit.

A ten-cent fare to Staten Island is exorbitant. A five-cent fare would very largely benefit all the inhabitants of the island, add to the value of its real estate, increase its population and raise its salubrity as a pleasant summer residence and popular resort.

Why should not the public interests be guarded by the terms of the lease in preference to the protection of the profits of the lessees? There would not be such a scramble for the ferry privilege as there is now, and it is not a mine to the speculators who secure them.

A WAR FLUTTER.

There has been a war flutter in England. The Cabinet was called together hurriedly last Tuesday, and a report spread that the country was threatened with a rupture with France. The Stock Exchange was excited, and speculators began knocking securities about like the ball in a college game of football.

It was soon discovered that nothing was the matter with France; that she was all right, and that no danger was threatened in Madagascar.

But in the outlook so wholly free from danger? The Cabinet meeting, it appears, was called for the purpose of the consideration of a bill for the reduction of sea-lion English troops and battleships to China for the protection of British interests.

What danger is really to be guarded against—Chinese disturbances or Russian designs?

Russia is philanthropic. She has feel-

The Evening World's Gallery of Living Pictures.

For the under dog. Her sympathy rushes out towards the pig-tailed Chinese. But is she really incited by compassion for the Celestials or by a passion for Korean ports? Is the mission of the English troops and ironclads to protect the British in China or to guard the ports in Korea?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

THE STORY OF THE WAR.

"The World's" exclusive special details of the complete victory of the Japanese army over the Chinese at Pingyang are of much interest.

"The World" took the precaution to engage a specialist of a capable, educated Japanese in addition to those of its own able American correspondent in obtaining a truthful history of the Korean war. This attaché was present at the Pingyang fight, and was in a position to secure the fullest and most reliable details of the engagement and its results, being assigned to duty on the Commanding General's staff.

The summing up tells that an army of more than twelve thousand men was practically annihilated, four Generals of renown surrendered, the entire store of weapons and ammunition fell into the hands of the Japanese, and a good amount of treasure was captured.

It is shown by the story of this eyewitness that the Japanese army was well handled, that considerable military skill was displayed in the conduct of the campaign, that the men fought bravely and that good discipline was secured both before the battle and after the victory. "The World's" special and exclusive account fully explains the causes of the evident superiority of the Japanese forces over the Chinese. Japan had an army in the field, China had a mob.

It is gratifying to learn that the rumors of excessive barbarity are unfounded and that there was no unnecessary and cruel slaughter beyond that required by the stern necessities of war.

NO HIGHER YET.

Mr. Goff made the session of the Lexow Commission lively yesterday, but was not so sensational as that of the preceding day.

One witness testified to the arrest of a sandwich peddler for plying his trade on Sunday. Mr. Meredith, the banker, related the particulars of the assault on him by some thieves in a chowder house. Thomas Lucas, the clubbed boxer, told the story of his needless violence alleged to have been used upon him. Mrs. Sarah Brown, colored, who kept Russian and sulphur baths and asserts that she was persecuted by the police because she would not submit to blackmail, graphically described the story of her sufferings. A witness from Brooklyn described how his brother had been arrested and made to pay \$25 for having erected an awning in this city without a permit.

The record of grievances was relieved by a somewhat sensational attack on the philanthropic President of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children by Lawyer Goff. Commodore Gerry asked to be heard as to the Society's action in the case of Mrs. Urchille's children, but was refused the privilege of speaking.

He had better hire a pilot when he next wanted to appear before the Committee.

Now, this is all very interesting, but then, Senator Lexow, you know, it is not "going higher." It brings us no nearer the heart of the matter, whom the people have been taught by the Committee to regard as the principal offenders in the corruptions of the Department.

Go higher, Senator. Go higher, Mr. Goff. The time is short, and the people expect it of you.

"We demand the adoption of a thorough system of street cleaning, which shall also include a proper disposition of the refuse and garbage, so that our harbor may be kept free from obstruction and defilement and the neighboring streets clear of offal, thus conforming to the methods in other great cities."

Right again, Committee of Seventy.

New York City has every advantage except that of a clean and economical government. How long it shall be without that, it is for good citizenship to say.

BY NEW YORK EDITORS.

Sparring for position will do for some days yet, but local statesmen must not overlook the fact that there will be an election early in November—The Times.

Who will be the modern Joan of Arc to head the army of the "L" road corporation, what never be lowered into New York, is the question. It should be, the best government, as it is the fairest, city on the continent—The Recorder.

Representative government is at stake in the city of New York. The crisis makes a supreme demand upon the patriotism and self-sacrifice of the citizen. It is the duty of every citizen to stand by the side of the city's liberty—The Press.

The complete failure to obtain rapid transit in New York is operating to bring New York's growth to a standstill. Chicago maintains growth in spite of the fact that she has no rapid transit in every direction—The Advertiser.

The record is already burdened with the history of the misdeeds of policemen. The time is ripe to examine the Commissioners and make them tell why they have allowed the force to fall into its present horrible condition—The Herald.

The cable cars have drawn a good deal of travel from the Elevated road but they will not be able to retain it unless the service is improved—The Tribune.

Why should not the public interests be guarded by the terms of the lease in preference to the protection of the profits of the lessees? There would not be such a scramble for the ferry privilege as there is now, and it is not a mine to the speculators who secure them.

There has been a war flutter in England. The Cabinet was called together hurriedly last Tuesday, and a report spread that the country was threatened with a rupture with France. The Stock Exchange was excited, and speculators began knocking securities about like the ball in a college game of football.

It was soon discovered that nothing was the matter with France; that she was all right, and that no danger was threatened in Madagascar.

But in the outlook so wholly free from danger? The Cabinet meeting, it appears, was called for the purpose of the consideration of a bill for the reduction of sea-lion English troops and battleships to China for the protection of British interests.

What danger is really to be guarded against—Chinese disturbances or Russian designs?

Russia is philanthropic. She has feel-

ing for the under dog. Her sympathy rushes out towards the pig-tailed Chinese. But is she really incited by compassion for the Celestials or by a passion for Korean ports? Is the mission of the English troops and ironclads to protect the British in China or to guard the ports in Korea?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

The Evening World's Gallery of Living Pictures.

For the under dog. Her sympathy rushes out towards the pig-tailed Chinese. But is she really incited by compassion for the Celestials or by a passion for Korean ports? Is the mission of the English troops and ironclads to protect the British in China or to guard the ports in Korea?

THE STORY OF THE WAR.

"The World's" exclusive special details of the complete victory of the Japanese army over the Chinese at Pingyang are of much interest.

"The World" took the precaution to engage a specialist of a capable, educated Japanese in addition to those of its own able American correspondent in obtaining a truthful history of the Korean war. This attaché was present at the Pingyang fight, and was in a position to secure the fullest and most reliable details of the engagement and its results, being assigned to duty on the Commanding General's staff.

The summing up tells that an army of more than twelve thousand men was practically annihilated, four Generals of renown surrendered, the entire store of weapons and ammunition fell into the hands of the Japanese, and a good amount of treasure was captured.

It is shown by the story of this eyewitness that the Japanese army was well handled, that considerable military skill was displayed in the conduct of the campaign, that the men fought bravely and that good discipline was secured both before the battle and after the victory. "The World's" special and exclusive account fully explains the causes of the evident superiority of the Japanese forces over the Chinese. Japan had an army in the field, China had a mob.

NO HIGHER YET.

Mr. Goff made the session of the Lexow Commission lively yesterday, but was not so sensational as that of the preceding day.

One witness testified to the arrest of a sandwich peddler for plying his trade on Sunday. Mr. Meredith, the banker, related the particulars of the assault on him by some thieves in a chowder house. Thomas Lucas, the clubbed boxer, told the story of his needless violence alleged to have been used upon him. Mrs. Sarah Brown, colored, who kept Russian and sulphur baths and asserts that she was persecuted by the police because she would not submit to blackmail, graphically described the story of her sufferings. A witness from Brooklyn described how his brother had been arrested and made to pay \$25 for having erected an awning in this city without a permit.

The record of grievances was relieved by a somewhat sensational attack on the philanthropic President of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children by Lawyer Goff. Commodore Gerry asked to be heard as to the Society's action in the case of Mrs. Urchille's children, but was refused the privilege of speaking.

He had better hire a pilot when he next wanted to appear before the Committee.

Now, this is all very interesting, but then, Senator Lexow, you know, it is not "going higher." It brings us no nearer the heart of the matter, whom the people have been taught by the Committee to regard as the principal offenders in the corruptions of the Department.

Go higher, Senator. Go higher, Mr. Goff. The time is short, and the people expect it of you.

"We demand the adoption of a thorough system of street cleaning, which shall also include a proper disposition of the refuse and garbage, so that our harbor may be kept free from obstruction and defilement and the neighboring streets clear of offal, thus conforming to the methods in other great cities."

Right again, Committee of Seventy.

New York City has every advantage except that of a clean and economical government. How long it shall be without that, it is for good citizenship to say.

BY NEW YORK EDITORS.

Sparring for position will do for some days yet, but local statesmen must not overlook the fact that there will be an election early in November—The Times.

Who will be the modern Joan of Arc to head the army of the "L" road corporation, what never be lowered into New York, is the question. It should be, the best government, as it is the fairest, city on the continent—The Recorder.

Representative government is at stake in the city of New York. The crisis makes a supreme demand upon the patriotism and self-sacrifice of the citizen. It is the duty of every citizen to stand by the side of the city's liberty—The Press.

The complete failure to obtain rapid transit in New York is operating to bring New York's growth to a standstill. Chicago maintains growth in spite of the fact that she has no rapid transit in every direction—The Advertiser.

The record is already burdened with the history of the misdeeds of policemen. The time is ripe to examine the Commissioners and make them tell why they have allowed the force to fall into its present horrible condition—The Herald.

The cable cars have drawn a good deal of travel from the Elevated road but they will not be able to retain it unless the service is improved—The Tribune.

Why should not the public interests be guarded by the terms of the lease in preference to the protection of the profits of the lessees? There would not be such a scramble for the ferry privilege as there is now, and it is not a mine to the speculators who secure them.

There has been a war flutter in England. The Cabinet was called together hurriedly last Tuesday, and a report spread that the country was threatened with a rupture with France. The Stock Exchange was excited, and speculators began knocking securities about like the ball in a college game of football.

It was soon discovered that nothing was the matter with France; that she was all right, and that no danger was threatened in Madagascar.

But in the outlook so wholly free from danger? The Cabinet meeting, it appears, was called for the purpose of the consideration of a bill for the reduction of sea-lion English troops and battleships to China for the protection of British interests.

What danger is really to be guarded against—Chinese disturbances or Russian designs?

Russia is philanthropic. She has feel-

ing for the under dog. Her sympathy rushes out towards the pig-tailed Chinese. But is she really incited by compassion for the Celestials or by a passion for Korean ports? Is the mission of the English troops and ironclads to protect the British in China or to guard the ports in Korea?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there is no real trouble with France?

Russia is not often humane and philanthropic. There is a good deal of the bear in her. Has she not a design of her own in her display of friendship for China? Is the mission of Europe to secure as people seem to think, even if there